

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Friday - 30 May 1975

Page 6

CONFIDENTIAL

22. [redacted] Per a telephone call from Phyllis Bacon, Senate Armed Services Committee staff, called Mr. Shackley's, C/EA, office and asked what title he would like shown beside his name in the 8 April 1975 hearing before that Committee. It was decided his name and Chief, East Asia Division, Central Intelligence Agency, would be used and Ms. Bacon was advised of this.

23. [redacted] I spoke to Jim Davidson, Counsel, Senate Government Operations Subcommittee on Intergovernmental Relations, after his presentation concerning the Agency's implementation of the Privacy Act and the move to substitute intelligence sources and methods for the Agency's exemption at the FOI symposia. He asked that I meet with him sometime next week to discuss the matter further.

24. [redacted] and I stopped by to talk with Alan Parker on H.R. 61, a bill to control the use of criminal justice information. Mr. Parker is Counsel to the House Judiciary Subcommittee on Civil Rights and Constitutional Rights. He was very sympathetic to the Agency's interests as we outlined them for him. He said that he believed these problems resulted not from any intent to shaft the Agency but rather from the fact that the draftsmen had not considered the Agency at all in writing the bill. I mentioned that this surmise was borne out by my discussions with Jim Wentzel of the ~~Justice Department~~ who apparently wrote the bill. Mr. Parker said that even though the bill's coverage of the Agency was accidental, it would be very difficult to remedy the situation given the "temper of the times" and the orientation of the Committee members. He suggested that our best hope would be a new bill altogether -- a joint venture by Representative Edwards (D., Calif.) and Senator Tunney (D., Calif.) -- which he, Parker, might be called on to draft de novo. It is not certain that this new bill will be requested, but Parker implied that if it was, he would accommodate the Agency's interests. Meanwhile, he said he will try his best to argue the Agency's viewpoint regarding H.R. 61, and he asked us to prepare a series of hypothetical situations which he could use to dramatize the impracticability of applying the bill to the Agency. We left him an aide memoire on H.R. 61.

cc:
O/DDCI

GEORGE L. CARY
Legislative Counsel

Ex. Sec. Mr. Warner
DDI Mr. Lehman
DDA [redacted]
DDS&T EA/DDO

Compt.
Mr. Thuermer

CONFIDENTIAL